BERKELEY • DAVIS • IRVINE • LOS ANGELES • MERCED • RIVERSIDE • SAN DIEGO • SAN FRANCISCO



9500 GILMAN DRIVE LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA 92093-0348

Course and Instructor Evaluation Summary Department of Political Science

Hulme, Michael P POLI 100A - The Presidency (A) Summer Session I 2020

Number of Students Enrolled: 50 Number of Evaluations Submitted: 11

PLEASE COMMENT ON THE FOLLOWING:

1. Your class level is

0 (0.0%): Freshman
3 (27.3%): Sophomore
4 (36.4%): Junior
4 (36.4%): Senior
0 (0.0%): Graduate
0 (0.0%): Extension
0 (0.0%): Visitor

2. Your reason for taking this class is

8 (80.0%): Major 0 (0.0%): Minor 1 (10.0%): Gen. Ed. 0 (0.0%): Elective 1 (10.0%): Interest

1: [No Response]

3. What grade do you expect in this class?

9 (90.0%): A
1 (10.0%): B
0 (0.0%): C
0 (0.0%): D
0 (0.0%): F
0 (0.0%): P
0 (0.0%): NP

1: [No Response]

The data used in this report is provided to the Office of the Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs by Course and Professor Evaluations (CAPE), a student-run organization. Please visit the CAPE website at cape.ucsd.edu if you have questions about the data or how it is collected.

GENERAL QUESTIONS

4. I learned a great deal from this course.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

4 (40.0%): Agree

6 (60.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

5. How many hours a week do you spend studying outside of class on average?

0 (0.0%): 0-1 2 (20.0%): 2-3 2 (20.0%): 4-5 3 (30.0%): 6-7 8-9 2 (20.0%): 1 (10.0%): 10-11 0 (0.0%): 12-13 0 (0.0%): 14-15 0 (0.0%): 16-17 0 (0.0%): 18-19 0 (0.0%): 20 or more [No Response]

6. How often do you attend this course?

0 (0.0%): Very Rarely

3 (30.0%): Some of the Time 7 (70.0%): Most of the Time [No Response]

COURSE MATERIAL POLI 100A

7. The course material is intellectually stimulating.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

6 (60.0%): Agree

4 (40.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable [No Response]

8. Assignments promote learning.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

3 (30.0%): Agree

7 (70.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

The data used in this report is provided to the Office of the Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs by Course and Professor Evaluations (CAPE), a student-run organization. Please visit the CAPE website at cape.ucsd.edu if you have questions about the data or how it is collected.

9. Required reading is useful.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

3 (33.3%): Agree

6 (66.7%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 2: [No Response]

10. This course is difficult relative to others.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

4 (40.0%): Disagree

4 (40.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

2 (20.0%): Agree

0 (0.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

11. Exams are representative of the course material.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

2 (20.0%): Agree

6 (60.0%): Strongly Agree 2 (20.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

12. Do you recommend this course overall?

10 (100.0%): Yes 0 (0.0%): No

1: [No Response]

13. Course POLI 100A:

- This course is valuable for anyone wanting an in-depth understanding of the presidency, the vice presidency, and the powers that are attached.
- This course was well-organized, and offered many opportunities to apply concepts we learned in class to real-life examples, especially in our writing. Lectures were a reasonable length, though sometimes stalled while professor was finding a specific example or could not remember the details of an example he brought up.
- This course contains a lot of interesting material, which makes it easy to complete assignments.
- Good.
- Really good class lots useful information and good explanations on somewhat complex topics.

14. Exams/Quizzes/Papers:

- Papers were very representative of the material and seemed fairly graded. Quizzes could be improved by being done through the Canvas program rather than individual Google forms, but this may have been done because of the way they were graded.
- · Fair.
- Quizzes are based on the required readings, which are pretty easy if you've done the readings. These readings also make it much easier to write the papers for this course. The papers are based upon your own analysis of the readings and lectures.
- Clear assignments and exams with explicit grading rubric
- During the summer session there were four, relatively easy, reading quizzes as well as two 3-5 page papers.

15. Reading [title(s) and comments]:

- Lots of long readings but overall very helpful to the overall course
- Reading were interesting, and I appreciated the variety of articles and videos. Wish the textbooks were more accessible.
- The readings and videos that are required for this course are interesting and stimulating.

INSTRUCTOR Michael Hulme

16. Instructor displays a proficient command of the material.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

4 (40.0%): Agree

6 (60.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

17. Instructor is well prepared for classes.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

1 (10.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

3 (30.0%): Agree

6 (60.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

18. Instructor's speech is clear and audible.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

4 (40.0%): Agree

6 (60.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

19. Instructor explains the course material well.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

3 (30.0%): Agree

7 (70.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

20. Lectures hold your attention.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

1 (10.0%): Disagree

2 (20.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

3 (30.0%): Agree

4 (40.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

21. Instructor's lecture style facilitates note-taking.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

1 (10.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

4 (40.0%): Agree

5 (50.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

22. Instructor shows concern for students' learning.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

5 (50.0%): Agree

5 (50.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

23. Instructor promotes appropriate questions/discussion.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

4 (40.0%): Agree

6 (60.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

24. Instructor is accessible outside of class.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

1 (10.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

3 (30.0%): Agree

6 (60.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

25. Instructor starts and finishes class on time.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

3 (30.0%): Agree

7 (70.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

26. Instructor is effective in promoting academic integrity.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%): Disagree

1 (10.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

2 (20.0%): Agree

7 (70.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

27. The instructor practiced effective teaching strategies that acknowledged and valued differences among students, including differences of race and gender identity.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

1 (10.0%): Disagree

2 (20.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree

2 (20.0%): Agree

5 (50.0%): Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%): Not Applicable 1: [No Response]

28. Instructor Michael Hulme:

- Professor Hulme is very interesting and approachable. His expectations for papers and quizzes are pretty clear and he's so open to answering questions. You can tell he really cares for his students and encourages lively discussions. He's very organized and prepared for each lecture, with clear outlines for the course throughout the whole quarter.
- Professor Hulme is a well-educated, great lecturer. He knows his stuff about the presidency and
 is able to teach in an unbiased manner. He is also open to all discussion of opinions and will
 engage in any topic.
- Very smart, helpful, and kind. Offers students help in regards to course and content as well as outside career endeavors. Is very experienced and offers honest/insightful opinion.
- Good.
- A great professor, seems knowledgeable in this topic and is always providing additional information related to concepts and current events. Seems to pause often in lecture, even when reading straight from the slides.
- 29. Do you recommend this professor overall?

10 (100.0%): Yes 0 (0.0%): No

1: [No Response]

Custom Question 5

- 30. Please provide examples of the ways the instructor did or did not create a learning environment that welcomed, challenged, and supported all students.
- He creates a welcoming learning environment by engaging in commentary with students during lecture. He welcomes questions and any type of commentary.
- Offered office hours weekly, and opened lectures to other students to ask questions at any time. Was accessible through email and responded very quickly.
- Explained the material well.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Political Science, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.