

**Student IA Evaluation for Michael Patrick Hulme  
International Studies Program**

INTL 102 - Econ, Politics & Intn'l Change (Haggard, Stephan M.)  
Winter 2018

**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 28**  
**Number of Students Enrolled: 242**

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

23 (82.1%): Strongly Agree  
4 (14.3%): Agree  
1 (3.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

24 (85.7%): Strongly Agree  
4 (14.3%): Agree  
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

23 (82.1%): Strongly Agree  
5 (17.9%): Agree  
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

24 (85.7%): Strongly Agree  
3 (10.7%): Agree  
1 (3.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

19 (67.9%): Strongly Agree  
8 (28.6%): Agree  
1 (3.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

22 (78.6%): Strongly Agree  
5 (17.9%): Agree  
1 (3.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

23 (82.1%): Strongly Agree  
5 (17.9%): Agree  
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

23 (82.1%): Strongly Agree  
4 (14.3%): Agree  
1 (3.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

23 (82.1%):	Strongly Agree
3 (10.7%):	Agree
2 (7.1%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

22 (81.5%):	Strongly Agree
4 (14.8%):	Agree
1 (3.7%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

26 (100.0%):	No issues
0 (0.0%):	Too quiet
0 (0.0%):	Too loud
0 (0.0%):	Too fast
0 (0.0%):	Too slow
0 (0.0%):	Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%):	Used filler words such as "um"
0 (0.0%):	Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

25 (89.3%):	Strongly Agree
2 (7.1%):	Agree
1 (3.6%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.  
*Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community*

- Did a good job connecting with students in the section with patience and understanding.
- Engaging, concise, funny.
- Friendly and makes you like class
- good TA
- Great TA, explained everything almost better than the professor.
- Had a clear understanding of the material and was able to help the students, as well as myself with subjects that were confusing or not discussed throughly in lecture.
- He is very good at making students think by asking probing questions. He is also very approachable, and can explain complex concepts in simple terms.
- He was very welcoming and never dismissive of any questions (even the most rudimentary).
- He's understanding and has interesting stories to tell which makes discussions more interesting, he listens
- His motivation to encourage students to think critically.
- Knowledgeable and engaging with the students
- Michael was approachable and very helpful in responding to questions students had and was well prepared for section.
- Patrick did a really great job keeping the conversation and material interesting and useful. He's one of the best TA's I've had. He's super approachable and always has great feedback to any questions
- Patrick is very good at explaining things in discussion and also helps us to understand the material better. He is very helpful and thorough, and also very approachable for help. This was my first time to take a class about politics and write a policy memo, so he extended his office hours out to help me out. I got sick with the flu but I plan on going very soon for help with questions about the class. Patrick is very helpful and I would highly recommend him!
- Professor Hulme's strength is explaining the material clearly to give good notes and advice.
- Really understanding and tried his best to accommodate his students.  
Very friendly, easy to ask questions.
- The powerpoints were super helpful
- Vast knowledge of the subject.
- very organized and helpful to students.

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.  
*Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community*

- Did not provide as much feedback on my writing as I would have liked
- Every now and then, he would seem uninterested in the material.
- I believe that he could have been more demanding in terms of what his expectations were of us during discussion.
- I didn't notice any, he definitely knows what he's talking about
- I responded really well to his teaching style so I can't complain.
- no weaknesses were presentable to me.
- none! Best TA I've had so far.
- Seemed rushed towards end of sections
- too friendly. Despite it being a good quality to have, being too friendly makes it slightly difficult to take him seriously.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

*Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community*

- His discussions this quarter were very informational and helped me understand the readings and materials in the lecture better. His grading is also very fair and he prepares us well for quizzes, exams etc.
- I hope to have him again as my TA in the future.
- Overall, I really enjoyed having him as a TA. He is knowledgeable with current events is insightful. He encourages everyone to think critically about how chain of events work. If I had the option, I would take him again as a TA.
- Patrick overall held a very pleasant and enthusiastic energy which he consistently maintained throughout the quarter.
- Sections were engaging.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, International Studies Program, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.