



Course and Instructor Evaluation Summary Department of Political Science

Hulme, Michael P
POLI 142Q - Cold War (A)
Spring Quarter 2021

Number of Students Enrolled: 63
Number of Evaluations Submitted: 11

PLEASE COMMENT ON THE FOLLOWING:

1. Your class level is

0 (0.0%): Freshman
0 (0.0%): Sophomore
1 (9.1%): Junior
10 (90.9%): Senior
0 (0.0%): Graduate
0 (0.0%): Extension
0 (0.0%): Visitor

2. Your reason for taking this class is

9 (90.0%): Major
0 (0.0%): Minor
0 (0.0%): Gen. Ed.
1 (10.0%): Elective
0 (0.0%): Interest
1: [No Response]

3. What grade do you expect in this class?

5 (50.0%): A
3 (30.0%): B
0 (0.0%): C
0 (0.0%): D
0 (0.0%): F
2 (20.0%): P
0 (0.0%): NP
1: [No Response]

GENERAL QUESTIONS

4. I learned a great deal from this course.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
6 (60.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

5. How many hours a week do you spend studying outside of class on average?

1 (10.0%):	0-1
3 (30.0%):	2-3
4 (40.0%):	4-5
2 (20.0%):	6-7
0 (0.0%):	8-9
0 (0.0%):	10-11
0 (0.0%):	12-13
0 (0.0%):	14-15
0 (0.0%):	16-17
0 (0.0%):	18-19
0 (0.0%):	20 or more
1:	[No Response]

6. How often do you attend this course?

0 (0.0%):	Very Rarely
2 (20.0%):	Some of the Time
8 (80.0%):	Most of the Time
1:	[No Response]

COURSE MATERIAL POLI 142Q

7. The course material is intellectually stimulating.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
3 (30.0%):	Agree
7 (70.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

8. Assignments promote learning.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
5 (50.0%):	Agree
4 (40.0%):	Strongly Agree
1 (10.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

9. Required reading is useful.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
3 (30.0%): Agree
7 (70.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

10. This course is difficult relative to others.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
3 (30.0%): Disagree
2 (20.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
2 (20.0%): Agree
3 (30.0%): Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

11. Exams are representative of the course material.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%): Agree
5 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (10.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

12. Do you recommend this course overall?

10 (100.0%): Yes
0 (0.0%): No
1: [No Response]

13. Course POLI 142Q:

- Great course, I am very much enjoying it. The essays have very flexible prompts and the readings are not too long or too difficult to read.

14. Exams/Quizzes/Papers:

- Great application of the course materials

15. Reading [title(s) and comments]:

[No Responses]

INSTRUCTOR Michael Hulme

16. Instructor displays a proficient command of the material.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
6 (60.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

17. Instructor is well prepared for classes.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
6 (60.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

18. Instructor's speech is clear and audible.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
6 (60.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

19. Instructor explains the course material well.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
3 (30.0%):	Agree
7 (70.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

20. Lectures hold your attention.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (10.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
5 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

21. Instructor's lecture style facilitates note-taking.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (10.0%):	Disagree
1 (10.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
3 (30.0%):	Agree
5 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

22. Instructor shows concern for students' learning.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
5 (50.0%):	Agree
5 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

23. Instructor promotes appropriate questions/discussion.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
2 (20.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
4 (40.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

24. Instructor is accessible outside of class.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (10.0%):	Disagree
1 (10.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
4 (40.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

25. Instructor starts and finishes class on time.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
6 (60.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

26. Instructor is effective in promoting academic integrity.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (10.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
5 (50.0%):	Agree
4 (40.0%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

27. The instructor practiced effective teaching strategies that acknowledged and valued differences among students, including differences of race and gender identity.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 (40.0%):	Agree
5 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
1 (10.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

28. Instructor Michael Hulme:

- Seems very knowledgeable, can ask him any question and he will answer it as best he can.
- Instructor is incredibly knowledgeable about any and every aspect of the Cold War. The slides are so detailed and organized that anyone could grasp all the relevant concepts just by glossing over the visuals and bullet points, yet he expounds on most of them during class and can approach any issue from any angle while providing polite fact-based rebuttal to students' sometimes strained interpretations of events. No idea how someone born the year the Cold War ended could possibly know everything he does.

Additional sources of information are offered (websites, books, documentaries) are suggested to those of us who like to study particular topics in depth.

I'll would definitely take a course with this instructor again if he offers a new one in 2021-22. Probably learned more in this course than any other over the last year. He's a slam dunk candidate for an assistant professorship offer at multiple universities for the following year if that's in his plans.

- Excellent professor and very inspiring. His knowledge surrounding the cold war and Asian politics is amazing.

29. Do you recommend this professor overall?

10 (100.0%):	Yes
0 (0.0%):	No
1:	[No Response]

Custom Question 5

30. Please provide examples of the ways the instructor did or did not create a learning environment that welcomed, challenged, and supported all students.

- In future courses the instructor should have weekly quizzes on the material, and find a way to offer points (bonus or not) to students who can answer questions about the week's readings. Instructors here don't seem to mandate that students read the assigned readings for the week. Also, 10-15 minutes should be allotted each class for for discussion/debate/sidebars.

A drawback is the "two big essays" grading format; it doesn't necessarily promote learning and students can have their parents write the essays.

- Showed no discrimination against a group. Very friendly and willing to answer even "obvious" questions.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Political Science, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.